MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Center for Pain Relief Insurance Co of the State of PA

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-0557-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

October 28, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier denied payment of Code 99213 25 stating, "the procedure is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing."

Amount in Dispute: \$117.09

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: The Division placed a copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the medical fee dispute resolution on November 7, 2016. Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (d) (1) states,

Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division.

(1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

A fax was received by the Division on November 18, 2016, however no response was included in the documentation. Therefore, this dispute will be reviewed based on available information.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 31, 2016	99213 -25	117.09	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the medical fee guideline for professional services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 4 The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing
 - P12 Workers compensation jurisdiction fee schedule adjustment
 - W3

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

The insurance carrier denied the disputed service with claim adjustment reason code 4 – "The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 (b) states,

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

 Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers;

Review of the medical claim line finds the service in dispute is 99213 – "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: An expanded problem focused history; An expanded problem focused examination; Medical decision making of low complexity. Counseling and coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of low to moderate severity. Typically, 15 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The requestor utilized the "25" modifier that indicates, 25 – "Significant, Separately Identifiable Evaluation and Management Service by the Same Physician or Other Qualified Health Care Professional on the Same Day of the Procedure or Other Service."

This modifier has a definition of, "It may be necessary to indicate that on the day a procedure or service identified by a CPT code was performed, the patient's condition required a significant, separately identifiable E/M service above and beyond the other service provided or beyond the usual preoperative and postoperative care associated with the procedure that was performed. A significant, separately identifiable E/M service is defined or substantiated by documentation that satisfies the relevant criteria for the respective E/M service to be reported (see Evaluation and Management Services Guidelines for instructions on determining level of E/M service). The E/M service may be prompted by the symptom or condition for which the procedure and/or service was provided. As such, different diagnoses are not required for reporting of the E/M services on the same date. This circumstance may be reported by adding modifier 25 to the appropriate level of E/M service."

Review of the submitted documentation found no record(s) to support that an office visit was done by the physician separate to the other services performed on May 31, 2016. Therefore, the carrier's denial is supported.

2. The Division is unable to recommend payment for the disputed service as requirements of Rule 134.203(b) were not met.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

<u>Authorized Sign</u>	<u>iature</u>
------------------------	---------------

		December 15, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.